

1 voir dire. The Court set up an interpreter for the victims. The interpreter is scheduled
2 to be here on a specific day during the trial. If the first day of trial is now set aside for
3 the Juror Questionnaire and the **second day** is devoted to voir dire, the State may not
4 be able to reschedule each of its witnesses to travel to Mohave County on a new date
5 this close to trial.

6 Second, if the Defendant is asking for "the widest latitude" in the inquiry of the
7 jurors, it seems unnecessary for a Juror Questionnaire as well.

8 **Reference to the Public Defender's Office**

9 The State has no objection to Defendant's request that the Court make no
10 reference that the Defendant is represented by the Public Defender or Public
11 Defender's Office.

12 **CONCLUSION**

13 Due to the State's scheduling of witnesses, the State would request the Court
14 deny Defendant's request to order a jury questionnaire.

15
16 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THIS 6TH DAY OF JUNE, 2011.

17 MOHAVE COUNTY ATTORNEY
18 MATTHEW J. SMITH

19
20 By 
21 DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY
22 VICTORIA STAZIO
23
24
25

1 A copy of the foregoing
sent this same day to:

2
3 HONORABLE STEVEN F. CONN
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE

4 JOHN A. PECCHIA
PUBLIC DEFENDER
5 Mohave County Public Defender's Office
P O Box 7000

6
7 Kingman AZ 86402

8 By VS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25